

<b>Notice of Allowability</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/025,512	KESSLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gopal C. Ray	2111	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to the application filed on 12/19/2001.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-46.
3. ☒ The drawings filed on 19 December 2001 are accepted by the Examiner.
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some\*   c) ☐ None   of the:
    1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.  
**THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.**

5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
  6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
    - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached
      - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
    - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

**Attachment(s)**

1. ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. ☒ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),  
 Paper No./Mail Date 09302002
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit  
 of Biological Material

5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413),  
 Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
7. ☐ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_.

*Gopal C. Ray*  
**GOPAL C. RAY**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**GROUP 2100**

Art Unit: 2111

The following is an Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance:

The instant claimed invention is directed to a method and system for processing security operations in a computer system. The primary reasons for allowance over the prior art on record is that the examiner has done a thorough search and found no prior art that teaches or fairly suggests, inter alia, the limitations such as "processing a number of requests for security operations from a host memory by a number of execution units and outputting results of the number of requests for the security operations to locations within the host memory, wherein an order of outputting of the results can be different from the order of the requests within the host memory" as claimed in independent method claim 1 and similar limitations as in claim 1 including claiming an additional element, a coprocessor in independent method claim 11, corresponding independent apparatus claim 17 with at least the above features of claim 1, corresponding independent apparatus claim 24 with at least the above features of claim 11, similar limitations as in claim 1 including claiming a machine-readable medium in independent method claim 31 and similar limitations as in claim 11 including claiming a machine-readable medium in independent method claim 41. Dependent claims 2-10, 12-16, 18-23, 25-30, 32-40 and 42-46 further limit the subject matter of their respective parent claims.

If applicants are aware of better art than that which has been on record, they are required to call such the attention of the examiner. Furthermore, any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the Issue Fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably **accompany** the Issue Fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance".

*Gopal C. Ray*  
GOPAL C. RAY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 2100

Best Available Copy